(16)

Office Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
MAR 2 1925
WM. R. STANSBURY
CLERK

No. 739

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1924.

D. B. ROBERTSON, *Appellant,* vs. **RAILROAD LABOR BOARD,** *Appellee.*	Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division.

BRIEF AND ARGUMENT FOR APPELLEE.

JAMES M. BECK,
Solicitor General.
WEYMOUTH KIRKLAND,
Special Assistant to the Attorney General,
Counsel for Appellee.

ROBERT N. GOLDING,
Special Assistant to the Attorney General,
Of Counsel.

CHAMPLIN LAW PRINTING COMPANY, CHICAGO

INDEX.

PART I.

PAGE

Motion to quash service of summons 1

A. The service of the subpoena by the marshal..... 2

Service by the marshal for the northern district of Ohio was legal 2

Section 787, R. S. 2

The Levy Court of Washington County v. Ringgold, 5 Pet. 451 2

B. The subpoena was lawfully issued 3

Plain terms are to be taken in their natural and usual meaning. 3

U. S. v. First National Bank, 234 U. S. 245..... 3

Dewey v. U. S., 175 U. S. 510..... 3

Lake County v. Rollins, 130 U. S. 662..... 3

The word "any" ordinarily means all, without exception..... 4

Chicot County v. Lewis, 103 U. S. 164..... 4

Heyler v. City of Watertown, 16 S. D. 25, 91 N. W. 334.... 4

New York County Medical Ass'n v. City of New York, 32 Misc. Rep. 116, 65 N. Y. S. 531..... 4

Middlebrook v. State, 43 Conn. 257..... 4

Chartiers and Robinson Turnpike Company v. McNamara, 72 Pa. 278 4

Hopkins v. Sanders, 172 Mich. 227, 137 N. W. 709..... 5

Chase v. Whiting, 30 Wis. 544..... 5

A reference to statutes *in pari materia* where jurisdiction is qualified, shows that when congress granted jurisdiction, without qualifying exceptions, it meant to grant jurisdiction to "any United States district court."..... 5

Interstate Commerce Act of Feb. 4, 1887..... 5

Federal Trade Commission Act of Sept. 26, 1914 (Section 9, Chap. 311, 38 Stat. L. 717) 6

Act of March 4, 1923, amending the act creating the United States Coal Commission 6

Corporation Tax Act of August 5, 1904 (36 Stat. L. 112)... 6

PART II.

The motion to dismiss the petition 6

The appellant, on this appeal, is restricted to the one jurisdictional point, to-wit: This is not a case or controversy within the meaning of the constitution 7

U. S. v. Jahn, 155 U. S. 109..... 7

Wilson v. Republic Iron & Steel Co., 257 U. S. 92..... 7

Section 238, Judicial Code 7

Non-jurisdictional points concern not the power of the court to act but merely its duty, under general rules of law 7

Male v. Atchison, T. & S. F. R. Co., 240 U. S. 97..... 7

Burnet v. Desmornes y Alvarez, 226 U. S. 145..... 7

Mexican Central R. Co. v. Eckman, 187 U. S. 429..... 8

Louisville Trust Co. v. Knott, 191 U. S. 225..... 8

Fore River Shipbuilding Co. v. Hagg, 219 U. S. 175..... 8

Bogart v. Southern Pac. Co., 228 U. S. 137..... 8

The instant cause is a case or controversy within the meaning of the constitution 8

Interstate Commerce Commission v. Brimson, 154 U. S. 447... 8

Conclusion 10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES AND STATUTES.

	PAGE
Acts of Congress:	
Act of March 4, 1923, amending the act creating the United States Coal Commission	6
Corporation Tax Act of August 5, 1904, (36 Stat. L. 112)	6
Federal Trade Commission Act of Sept. 26, 1914, (Sec. 9, Chap. 311, 38 Stat. L. 717)	6
Interstate Commerce Act of Feb. 4, 1887	5
Judicial Code, Section 51	1, 4
Judicial Code, Section 238 (36 Stat. L. 1157, as amending, 38 Stat. L. 804.)	7
Section 787, R. S.	2
Bogart v. Southern Pac. Co., 228 U. S. 137	8
Burnet v. Desmornes y Alvarez, 226 U. S. 145	7
Chartiers and Robinson Turnpike Co. v. McNamara, 72 Pa. 278	4
Chase v. Whiting, 30 Wis. 544	4
Chicot County v. Lewis, 103 U. S. 164	4
Dewey v. U. S., 175 U. S. 510	3
Fore River Shipbuilding Co. v. Hagg, 219 U. S. 175	8
Heyler v. City of Watertown, 16 S. D. 25, 91 N. W. 334	4
Hopkins v. Sanders, 172 Mich. 227, 137 N. W. 709	4
Interstate Commerce Commission v. Brimson, 154 U. S. 447	8
Lake County v. Rollins, 130 U. S. 662	3
Levy Court of Washington County v. Ringgold, 5 Pet. 451	2
Louisville Trust Co. v. Knott, 191 U. S. 225	8
Male v. Atchison, T. & S. F. R. Co., 240 U. S. 97	7
Mexican Central R. Co. v. Eckman, 187 U. S. 429	8
Middlebrook v. State, 43 Conn. 257	4
New York County Medical Ass'n. v. City of New York, 32 Misc. Rep. 116, 65 N. Y. S. 531	4
U. S. v. First National Bank, 234 U. S. 245	3
U. S. v. Jahn, 115 U. S. 109	7
Wilson v. Republic Iron & Steel Co., 257 U. S. 92	7